

# Have we killed the medical profession?

---

 [tomrenz.substack.com/p/have-we-killed-the-medical-profession](https://tomrenz.substack.com/p/have-we-killed-the-medical-profession)

## What is the role of a doctor now that healthcare is not about health?

---

The COVID fraud continues, and we see massive number of doctors leaving practice, suffering from mental health crises, and even committing suicide ([Here is an article blaming it on COVID](#)). Many reasons are given for this, but I think the entire issue begs the question, what is the role of a doctor?

Traditionally, healthcare providers were independent businesspeople that acted more like tradesmen than employees of big businesses. Over the course of the twentieth century providers retained their position as independent tradesmen but stood out amongst the trades for the extensive levels of training required to become licensed in their trade. The role of a doctor, throughout this same period, was to use the extensive body of knowledge and critical thinking ability developed through years of study to find the best way to meet the healthcare needs of an individual patient. However, at the same time the professional development requirements were growing for doctors, so too was the business of making money off of their skills.

In the early twentieth century the idea of health insurance formalized. Early on, insurance was nothing more than people pooling money in case any of them were faced with a catastrophic medical crisis that they could not pay for out-of-pocket. Doctors' fees were reasonable enough that the default mechanism for payment was out-of-pocket and it was rare that more was needed. Hospitals were also generally viewed as useful for catastrophic issues but not necessary for most health issues.

Over time all of this changed. Hospitals realized first that if a person is dealing with a catastrophic health issue the normal rules of supply and demand do not apply; if you are dying you really do not care what it costs to get well. As hospitals began to leverage this knowledge, costs went up for catastrophic insurance coverage and so too did the cost to be insured. Hospitals began to look at medicine as a business which was and is the core of the healthcare catastrophe we currently face.

Independent doctor, whose primary interest was preserving the life and health of his or her patient had to recognize that billing the patient for more than they can pay was futile. The same doctor would have also realized that if the patient is not cared for and kept alive there would be no income at all (and some even cared about doing the right thing because it was the right thing to do). As medicine became big business, the insurance companies or

government (the payers) relationship with the hospitals became an incredibly complex game of financial gamesmanship between the doctors and patients. The result was the doctors lost, the patients lost, and science was politicized.

For years, the business of medicine has been pushing various mechanisms to keep consumers healthy. The usefulness of many of these is questionable at best but the marketing is incredibly successful. We constantly see advertisements for drugs or “studies” that demonstrate the necessity of various procedures, but the reality is that the science does not always seem to support the advertised benefits. There are a number of “outsider” scientists that are credible and have discussed the prevalence of this issue, but the one inarguable fact is that benefits are frequently advertised based on questionable studies or using misleading data. No greater example of this exists than the COVID jabs and their advertised efficacy which was both false and misleading and entirely about money and power.

At some point it became clear to the money people in medicine that they needed to be able to consolidate control over the doctors. After all, independent doctors looking out for the best interests of their patients would do their own research and make recommendations based on what was best for the patient overall rather than based only on profit. The consolidation of control over doctors also meshed with the goals of certain global “leaders” (also read tyrant wannabe’s) that recognized that if you control peoples’ health you control the people. This led to the consolidation of healthcare, culminating in America with the passage of Obamacare.

Obamacare did two things well, it eliminated independent doctors by creating too much bureaucracy for them to practice on their own, and it implemented electronic medical records (EMRs) to better ensure control and track data on everyone. The bureaucracy is complicated though understanding its impact is not. What is less apparent is the importance of the EMR provisions.

EMRs are now mandatory and that means the government now has access to all of our private health information either directly or indirectly. This data is supposedly protected but is shared through a number of corporations, technical platforms, and other places. While none of these groups can legally acknowledge what they know about an individual’s health, the reality is that your health information is shared in more places than you will ever know. Further, the EMR systems do not only collect data, but they are also frequently integrated with other software that provides treatment options based on diagnoses determined by the software and based on the symptoms entered by the provider.

This is where malpractice comes in to play. In many states malpractice is roughly defined as deviation from what a similar professional would do in a similar situation. If all of the physicians in an area are controlled by the same hospital system and the hospital system’s software provide generalized diagnoses and treatment options, then you would rarely be

guilty of malpractice if you just follow what the computer says. If you deviate, however, the hospital administration would know and you would be at risk of malpractice which means you may also lose your job. Seems like a solid way to control physicians.

If this seems bad, it is. Remember, the computer system is programmed by people. The people programming the system are basing diagnoses and recommendations for treatment on statistical probabilities (and how much money they make) but people are not identical. If you happen to be a statistical outlier and your doctor knows it then the doctor is faced with risking malpractice and losing their jobs or treating you according to their conscience.

The picture I am laying out here is neither perfect nor complete. There are variations in different healthcare systems and different states and I am greatly oversimplifying some of the issues. That said, the basic premise does hold true and can be seen very clearly in the issues around COVID. When a doctor types in the symptoms of COVID the systems will invariably push the COVID diagnosis (remember the hospitals that are paying for the computer systems get more money for each COVID case and the systems are programmed with due consideration to money). They will then push treatments that maximize profit for the hospital even though they don't work and frequently kill rather than heal because, again there are high reimbursement rates from our government thanks to Fauci's protocols. If doctors do anything different the administration is made aware immediately and the doctors are fired because using alternative treatments that showed promise would undermine the liability shield the hospitals have against malpractice (there is more to this but that's for another article).

The same thing is happening with the jabs. If a person comes in with symptoms of jab side effects the EMRs have been programmed to diagnose ANYTHING but a jab as the cause. Thus, these side effects are not recorded and are really only recognized through data-mining operations. Meanwhile, the hospital keeps making money and the doctors have to keep watching people die.

This leads back to the original question, what is the role of a doctor? At this point the doctor's job is simply to input data into a computer system and then follow the directions. They are not to question the computer's authority and certainly are not allowed to practice the science of medicine. When you think about all this I guess it is not a surprise so many doctors are having mental health crises or leaving the profession... in fact, it almost makes it seem like spending all that time and money on medical school is a waste.